



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,250	07/12/2006	Norihide Momose	4255-21	1891
23117 7590 03/07/2011 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203				
EXAMINER				
PEREN, VINCENT ROBERT				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2625				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/07/2011		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 10/551,250 Applicant(s) MOMOSE ET AL. Examiner Vincent R. Peren Art Unit 2625
--	--	--

All Participants:

(1) Vincent R. Peren.

(2) John Eisenhart.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: Final Rejection

(3) _____.
(4) _____

Time: approximately 12:30pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/CHAN S PARK/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative, John Eisenhart, to inform him that the after-final amendment filed on 24 February, 2011 was non-compliant (claims 1 and 10 were improperly amended). Applicant indicated that language added to the claims with strike-through text should have instead been submitted in underlined text. Applicant agreed to immediately present a Supplemental Amendment correcting the errors so as to obviate the need to issue a formal Notice of Non-Compliance, thereby preventing any further delay in prosecution of the application.